Appendix C Traffic Impact Assessment

### **Traffic Impact Assessment**

Chatswood Mixed Use Development

80818097

Prepared for Reyhoda Pty Ltd

2 November 2020





### Cardno

### **Contact Information**

### **Document Information**

Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty Ltd	Prepared for	Reyhoda Pty Ltd
ABN 95 001 145 035	Project Name	Chatswood Mixed Use
Level 9 - The Forum		Development
203 Pacific Highway St Leonards 2065	File Reference	80818097_R002_Chatswood
Australia		TIA_Ver 05 .docx
	Job Reference	80818097
www.cardno.com		
Phone +61 2 9496 7700	Date	2 November 2020
Fax +61 2 9496 7748	Version Number	06

### **Document History**

Version	Effective Date	Description of Revision	Prepared by	Reviewed by
1	15/12/2017	Draft (Internal Review)	Nabil Rahman	Hayden Calvey
2	16/12/2017	Final (Client Issue)	Nabil Rahman	Ghaith Farfour
3	24/09/2020	Final (Updated Development Plan)	Sabal Sharma	
4	28/09/2020	Final (Updates after Client Comments)	Sabal Sharma	
5	29/10/2020	Final(Updates after Council Comments	Sabal Sharma	
6	02/11/2020	Final	Sabal Sharma	

© Cardno. Copyright in the whole and every part of this document belongs to Cardno and may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person other than by agreement with Cardno.

This document is produced by Cardno solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Cardno does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.

### **Table of Contents**

1	Introduc	tion	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Scope of Work	1
	1.3	Reference Documents	1
2	Existing	Conditions	2
	2.1	Site Location	2
	2.2	Surrounding Land Use	2
	2.3	Existing Road Network	3
	2.4	Traffic Surveys	3
	2.5	Existing Public Transport	4
	2.6	Cycle Network	5
	2.7	Pedestrian	6
3	Develop	ment Proposal	7
	3.2	Access Suitability	7
4	Traffic A	ssessment	8
	4.1	Development Traffic Generation	8
	4.2	Traffic Assignment	8
	4.3	Base and Future Base Year Scenarios	9
	4.4	Key Intersections Operations and Performance	10
5	Parking	Assessment	12
	5.1	Car Parking Requirement	12
	5.2	Motorcycle & Bicycle Parking Requirement	12
	5.3	Car Parking Design	13
	5.4	Service Vehicle & Loading	13
	5.5	Queuing	18
6	Conclus	ion	19

### Appendices

Appendix A	Traffic Survey Count
------------	----------------------

- Appendix B Concept Layout
- Appendix C Swept Path Analysis
- Appendix D Spreadhseet Model
- Appendix E Sidra Analysis

### **Tables**

Table 2-1 Peak Hour Volumes

iii

### Cardno<sup>®</sup>

Table 2-2	Two-way Traffic Volumes	4
Table 4-1	Traffic Generation Estimate	8
Table 4-2	Level of Service Criteria for Intersections	10
Table 4-3	Anderson Street / Wilson Street Intersection SIDRA Results	11
Table 5-1	Car Parking Requirements	12
Table 5-2	Standard Medium Rigid Vehicle (MRV) Dimensions	13

### **Figures**

Figure 2-1	Development Location	2
Figure 2-2	Surrounding Land Use	3
Figure 2-3	Public Transport Network Map	5
Figure 2-4	Willoughby Bike Plan	5
Figure 2-5	Willoughby Walking Plan	6
Figure 3-1	Proposed precinct layout	7
Figure 4-1	Trip Distribution and Assignment	9
Figure 4-2	Assessment scenarios	10
Figure 4-3	Anderson Street / Wilson Street	11
Figure 5-1	B85 entering the site	14
Figure 5-2	B85 exiting the site	15
Figure 5-3	MRV entering the loading bay	15
Figure 5-4	MRV exiting the loading bay	16
Figure 5-5	MRV right turn entry to Loading bay (alternative option)	17

### 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Reyhoda Pty Ltd has appointed Cardno to prepare a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) for the proposed mixed-use development, located at the corner of Anderson St and Wilson Street, Chatswood.

This TIA has been undertaken to demonstrate the compliance of the development with relevant standards and Council controls and to review the basement parking, and access/egress for the mixed-use development.

This TIA has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the RTA Guide to Traffic Generating development V2.2.

### 1.2 Scope of Work

The following works have been undertaken as part of this TIA:

- > Summarise the existing land use, traffic and transport conditions in the vicinity of the development;
- > Detail the traffic types and volumes likely to be generated by the development;
- > Undertake traffic intersection surveys for Anderson Street/Wilson Street Intersection
- Undertake capacity analysis and modelling using SIDRA 7.0 software for the subject intersection representing the following scenarios;
  - 2017 Baseline conditions
  - 2017 Baseline plus subject development
  - 2027 Baseline (With growth rates)
  - 2027 Baseline plus subject development (With growth rates)
- > Assess car park provision and design in accordance with relevant standards and policies. This includes:
  - Assessment of parking provisions against relevant DCP and / or RMS rates;
  - Assessment of compliance with AS2890 standards in respect to parking dimensions, ramp grades, sight lines, aisle widths, vertical clearance, bicycle parking, disabled parking;
  - Assessment of service vehicle, removal truck, waste truck access and loading provision as necessary;
- > Assess site access suitability and visibility requirements in line with Australian Standards;
- > Review of existing and future proposed transport services in the vicinity of the subject site; and
- > Assess pedestrian and cyclist facilities with relevance to the subject site.

### 1.3 Reference Documents

The following documents have been reviewed and referenced in the preparation of this report:

- > AS2890 (Australian/NZ Standards, 2004)
- > Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (RTA, 2002);
- > Guide to Traffic Generating Developments Updated Traffic Surveys (RMS, TDT 2013/04a)
- > Willoughby Development Control Plan (Willoughby City Council, 2006)

### 2 Existing Conditions

### 2.1 Site Location

The proposed development is located at the corner of the Wilson Street and Anderson Street intersection, as shown in **Figure 2-1**. The site is currently occupied by has a single low density residential dwelling.

Figure 2-1 Development Location



### 2.2 Surrounding Land Use

As per the Willoughby Local Environmental Plan 2012 (LEP 2012), The site and lots surrounding the site currently consist mainly of R2 low density and R3 medium density residential lots, with Westfield and Chatswood commercial centre surrounding Chatswood train station. This is shown in **Figure 2-2**.





### 2.3 Existing Road Network

The existing road network surrounding the mixed use development consists of:

### > Anderson Street

Anderson Street is a local, unclassified road under the care and maintenance of the local council, linking between Ashley Street and Victoria Avenue. The road is configured as a two lane undivided carriageway (one lane in each direction). Unrestricted kerbside parking is generally available along both sides of the road between Ashley Street and Victoria Avenue.

### > Wilson Street

Wilson Street is a local, unclassified road under the care and maintenance of the local council, linking between Anderson Street and Pacific Highway. The road is configured as a two lane undivided carriageway (one lane in each direction). Unrestricted kerbside parking is generally available along both sides of the road between Anderson Street and Pacific Highway.

### 2.4 Traffic Surveys

An indication of the existing traffic volumes in the vicinity of the subject site is provided by peak hour traffic surveys undertaken by Traffic Information Specialist (TIS), on Thursday 7 December 2017 at the following locations:

2.4.1 Existing Traffic Volumes

Based on the traffic surveys, peak hours were determined to be the following:

- > AM Peak Hour: 07:30 to 08:30
- > PM Peak Hour: 17:00 to 18:00

The full results of the traffic surveys are provided in Appendix A and summarised in Table 2-1.





Traffic volumes within the study area are summarised in Table 2-2 below.

Table 2-2Two-way Traffic Volumes

Road	Weekday AM (veh/hr)	Weekday PM (veh/hr)
Anderson Street	495	431
Wilson Street	58	105

The traffic volumes along Anderson Street remained relatively consistent across the two separate peak hours, however, Wilson Street peak hour volumes doubled from AM peak hour to PM peak hour.

### 2.5 Existing Public Transport

The proposed location of the subject site is currently well served by public transport services as it is located within 600 metres walk from Chatswood Station, which is on the North Shore Line services. This service typically operates at a frequency of less than 10 minutes during commuter peak periods and 15 minute intervals at other times including Saturdays and Sundays. The location of the development relative to the Chatswood Rail Station is shown in **Figure 2-3**.

In addition to train services, a bus route currently operates in the vicinity of the development including:

> Route 558 - Chatswood to Lindfield via Chatswood, Roseville and East Lindfield

On the above basis, the proposed development site is conveniently located to take advantage of the connectivity of existing public transport services and encourage the greater use of sustainable modes of transport, therefore reducing reliance on private vehicles.





### Figure 2-3 Public Transport Network Map

### 2.6 Cycle Network

The proposed development is to comply with the overall objectives of the cycling network in accordance with the *Willoughby Development Control Plan 2006*. The Willoughby bike plan, as shown in **Figure 2-4**, provides a plan to meet Council's aims of increasing cycling, reducing the impact of private motor vehicles on the region and promoting greater levels of community health.



### 2.7 Pedestrian

The proposed development is to comply with the overall objectives of the Pedestrian network in accordance with the *Willoughby Development Control Plan 2006*. The Willoughby walking plan, as shown in **Figure 2-5**, provides a plan to meet Council's aims of increasing cycling, reducing the impact of private motor vehicles on the region and promoting greater levels of community health.



### 3 Development Proposal

The proposed development seeks to develop the site to accommodate 15 apartments, 45m<sup>2</sup> GFA of Retail and 505m<sup>2</sup> GFA of commercial.

Vehicular access into and out of the proposed development will be provided via Wilson Street and Anderson Street.

The general arrangement of the proposed precinct layout is illustrated in **Figure 3-1**. A larger version of the layout is provided in **Appendix B**.





### 3.2 Access Suitability

Given the site's constraints, it is recommended that the car parking access from Anderson Street be configured to a left in left out only. Although the left in left out configuration is not strictly required by the Australian Standard, it is recommended that the driveway be restricted to left in / left-out movements based on its located opposite the public intersection (Anderson Street / Violet Street).

### 4 Traffic Assessment

### 4.1 Development Traffic Generation

An indication of the traffic generation potential of the proposed development is sourced from the Roads and Maritime Technical Direction (TDT 2013/04a), which nominates the following traffic generation rates applicable to the proposed development (based on the Chatswood survey site assessed by the RMS). The retail generation rate is based on the RMS Guide.

- > High Density Residential Sydney Metropolitan Area
  - o AM Peak: 0.14 peak hour vehicle trips per unit
  - o PM Peak: 0.12 peak hour vehicle trips per unit
- > Commercial
  - AM Peak: 1.03 peak hour vehicle trips per 100m<sup>2</sup> GFA
  - PM Peak: 0.84 peak hour vehicle trips per 100m<sup>2</sup> GFA
- > Retail
  - o 46 vehicle trips per 1000m<sup>2</sup>

Table 4-1 Traffic Generation Estimate

Land Use Quantity		Traffic Generation		
		AM Peak	PM Peak	
Residential	15 Apartments	2	2	
Commercial	505 m² GFA	5	4	
Retail	45 m² GFA		2	
Total	-	7	8	

Based on the development, the site is estimated to generate 7 trips during the AM peak hour, 8 trips during the PM peak hour, as set out in **Table 4-1**.

### 4.2 Traffic Assignment

The directional distribution and assignment of traffic generated by the proposed development will be influenced by a number of factors, including the:

- > Configuration of the adjoining road network in the vicinity of the site;
- > Existing operation of intersections providing access around the adjoining road network;
- > Distribution of households in the vicinity of the site;
- > Surrounding employment centres, retail centres and schools in relation to the site;
- > Likely distribution of employee's residences in relation to the site, and
- > Configuration of the access arrangement to the site.

The ratio of the inbound and outbound traffic movements is assumed to be 20:80 in the AM peak hour and 70:30 in the PM peak hour.



Figure 4-1 Trip Distribution and Assignment



### 4.3 Base and Future Base Year Scenarios

The traffic surveys undertaken in 2017 were adopted as the base year traffic volumes and were used to estimate opening year and horizon year traffic volumes by applying a linear growth rate of 1% per annum, in accordance with RMS Traffic Volume Viewer (count station 33026).

On the above basis, the assessment scenarios are illustrated in Figure 4-2



Figure 4-2 Assessment scenarios



### 4.4 Key Intersections Operations and Performance

The existing intersection operation performance was assessed using the SIDRA Intersection 7.0 software package. The key indicator of intersection performance is typically the Level of Service (LoS), where results are placed on a scale from 'A' to 'F', outlined in **Table 4-2**.

Level of Service	Average Delay per Vehicle (sec/veh)	Traffic Signals, Roundabout	Giveway & Stop Signs
А	< 14	Good Operation	Good Operation
В	15 to 28	Good with acceptable delays and spare capacity	Acceptable delays and spare capacity
С	29 to 42	Satisfactory	Satisfactory, but accident study required
D	43 to 56	Operating near capacity	Near Capacity & accident study required
E	57 to 70	At Capacity, at signals incidents will cause excessive delays Roundabouts require other control mode	At capacity, requires other control mode
F	> 70	Unsatisfactory and requires additional capacity.	Unsatisfactory and requires additional capacity.

 Table 4-2
 Level of Service Criteria for Intersections

Source: Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (RMS, 2002)

The Average Vehicle Delay (AVD) provides a measure of the operational performance of an intersection and determines the LoS when applying the RMS method. It should be noted that the AVD's should be taken as a guide only as longer delays could be tolerated in some locations (i.e. inner city conditions) and on some roads (i.e. minor side street intersecting with a major arterial route). For traffic signals, the weighted average delay over all movements should be utilised. For roundabouts and priority control intersections (sign control) the critical movement for assessing LoS should be the movement with the highest average delay.

The Degree of Saturation (DoS) is another measure of the operational performance of individual intersections. For intersections controlled by traffic signals, both queue length and delay increase rapidly as DOS approaches 1.0. It is usual to attempt to keep DOS to less than 0.9. Degrees of Saturation in the order of 0.7 generally represent satisfactory intersection operation. When DOS exceed 0.9 queues can be anticipated.



### 4.4.2 Anderson Street / Wilson Street

The existing Anderson Street / Wilson Street intersection layout was modelled in SIDRA. The performance of the existing intersection layout was then assessed for the peak periods outlined in Section 2.4.1.

Figure 4-3 Anderson Street / Wilson Street



The SIDRA assessment of the Anderson Street / Wilson Street intersection for the various scenarios are summarised in **Table 4-3**, with full results attached in **Appendix E**.

		AM Peak				
Scenario	DoS	Delay (sec)	LoS	DoS	Delay (sec)	LoS
2017 Base	0.217	9.7	A	0.165	9.2	А
2027 Base (With Growth Rate)	0.239	10.2	A	0.182	9.7	A
2017 Base + Development	0.218	9.7	А	0.165	9.3	А
2027 Base + 10 years + Development (With Growth Rate)	0.239	10.3	A	0.182	9.7	A

Table 4-3 Anderson Street / Wilson Street Intersection SIDRA Results

The above SIDRA results indicate that the intersection will operate satisfactorily at LoS A in the AM and LoS A in the PM Peak in the future year scenarios with the additional traffic generated by the proposed development.

### 5 Parking Assessment

### 5.1 Car Parking Requirement

It should be noted that Willoughby City Council is in the process of reviewing car-parking rates in the Chatswood CBD and provided the following rates be considered for this assessment:

Office

1 space per 400 m<sup>2</sup> GFA

<u>Retail</u>

Less than 1000 m<sup>2</sup>: None

More than 1000 m<sup>2</sup>: 1 space per 300 m<sup>2</sup> GFA

**Residential** 

Studio: 0.5 spaces per dwelling

1-bed: 0.5 spaces per dwelling

2+ bed: 1 space per dwelling

Visitor: 1 space per 10 dwellings

These are car-parking rates, are lower than *Willoughby Development Control Plan 2016* as Council is supportive of minimal car parking on the site.

Table 5-1 shows the number of parking required based on the rates provided by the Council.

Land Use	Scale	Parking Requirement
Residential	12 x 2 bed	12
	3 x 4 bed	3
	Visitor Parking	2
Retail	45 m²	-
Commercial	505 m²	1
Total		18

Table 5-1 Car Parking Requirements

The Disabled (Accessible) Parking is to be provided in accordance with Part C of Willoughby Development Control Plan 2016.

### 5.2 Motorcycle & Bicycle Parking Requirement

In accordance with *Willoughby Development Control Plan 2016, motorcycle parking must be provided at a rate of 1 motorcycle space per 25 car spaces. These spaces are to have an area of 1.2 metres x 3 metres.* 

Design of bicycle parking facilities is to be in accordance with the provisions of AS 2890.3. Table 5-3 shows the number of cycling parking requires for the proposed development

	Bicycle Lockers	Bicycle rail / racks	Lockers (required)	Rail / Racks (required)
Residential	1 per 10 units	1 per 12 units	2	2
Office / Business	1 per 600 m²	1 per 2500m²	1	-

Retail / Restaurant	1 per 450 m²	1 per 150m²	-	-
---------------------	--------------	-------------	---	---

### 5.3 Car Parking Design

All car parking spaces must be provided in accordance with *Willoughby Development Control Plan 2016 and AS 2890.3,* with adequate turning area and aisle widths to ensure that all vehicles are able to move in a forward direction at all times when entering and leaving the site. Table 5-4 identifies the minimum dimension for a standard car space

Dimension of F	Parking Spaces
Minimum Width	2.5 m
Minimum Length	5.4 m
Minimum Fittings	2.4 m

### 5.4 Service Vehicle & Loading

### Garbage Collection

Loading bay dimensions must conform with the current Australian Standard 2890 – Off Street Parking, for a garbage truck to manoeuvre successfully around the loading zone. Table 5-2 identifies the minimum dimensions of a standard Medium Rigid Vehicle (MRV).

Table 5-2	Standard Medium Rigid Vehicle (MRV) Dimensions
-----------	--

Vehicle Class	Bay Width (Min)	Bay Length (m)	Platform Height (m)	Vertical Clearance (m)
MRV	3.5	8.8	0.95 to 1.10	4.5

### Turntable

Turntable lift dimension must conform with the current Australian Standards 2890 – Off Street Parking, to allow a vehicle to obtain a favourable position when trying to manoeuvre into/ out of the parking sport.

### Turning Path

A standard B85 Vehicle has been utilised for swept path analysis in AutoCAD. **Figure 5-1 and Figure 5-2** presents the swept path of the vehicle accessing the subject site from Anderson Street, and successfully entering and exiting the proposed access points in a forward motion. Details of the swept path analysis can be found in **Appendix B**.



Figure 5-1 B85 entering the site-Left turn in



Figure 5-2 B85 exiting the site-left turn out

A standard MRV has been utilised for swept path analysis in AutoCAD. **Figure 5-3**, **Figure 5-4** and **Figure 5-5** presents the swept path of the vehicle accessing the subject site from Wilson Street.



Figure 5-3 MRV entering the loading bay-Left turn in



Figure 5-4 MRV exiting the loading bay-right turn out



Figure 5-5 MRV right turn entry to Loading bay

It appears that from **Figure 5-3**, there is restricted space for a Standard MRV to take a left turn entry from Wilson Street to the Loading Bay, as it conflicts with the on-street parking located at the Wilson street. Therefore, it is recommended that the MRV vehicles should take a right turn movement from Wilson Street, in order to enter to the loading bay. As shown in **Figure 5-5**, a Standard MRV is successfully entering the loading bay in a forward direction (via right turn entry). Details of the swept path analysis can be found in **Appendix B**.

### 5.5 Queuing

The site must be able to contain the vehicles waiting to access parking. The number of spaces (i.e. length) required for the queuing area can be estimated through the Austroads Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice – Roadway Capacity which provides a methodology to predict the duration and length of expected queues.

The Technical Direction (TDT 13/04a) which provides an update to trip generation rates contained within the Roads and Maritime Services Guide to Traffic Generation specifies a peak hour generation rate of 0.15-0.19 trips per unit per hour (peak hour) for high density developments. More specifically, the technical direction update includes Chatswood as a similar survey site which shows peak trip generation of 0.14 trips per unit per hour. Therefore, based on this trip rate (0.14 per unit), the site is estimated to generate 2 trips per hour in one direction (for the peak hour). Typically, the peak direction is 80% outbound and 20% outbound in the AM period and the reverse in the PM period. As a conservative approach, the 4 trips in one direction were adopted (consisting of a worst case outcome). This equates to 1 vehicle every 15 minutes.

A variant of the Wohr 740 Multiparker has been previously implemented for 268 Orchard Road, Singapore at a capacity of 62 spaces with a maximum retrieval time of 1 vehicle per 170 seconds. It can be expected that the proposed development with a lower capacity would operate at a similar or lower maximum retrieval time for the site under consideration. Another system was adopted in Cremorne for an approved DA which utilised the Wohr Crossparker 558 and operated with an average retrieval time of 140 to 150 seconds.

Adopting a maximum retrieval time of 170 seconds results in the following outcomes for the peak hour (worst case) based on the Austroads Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice – Roadway Capacity:

- Average number of customers in the system is 0.23.
- Probability of there being more than one vehicle in the system (i.e. queuing) is 3.5%.
- Probability of there being more than one vehicle queued is 0.64%.
- One (1) waiting bay is recommended.

The information above should be considered when designing temporary waiting bays for vehicles. Additional consideration may be required to prevent drivers from using the temporary waiting bays as longer term parking.

### 6 Conclusion

Cardno has been appointed by Reyhoda Pty Ltd to undertake a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) for a proposed mixed use development, to demonstrate its compliance with the relevant standards and Council controls.

The following conclusion outlines the analysis and discussions presented within this report:

- The proposed development is expected to generate 7 trips during the AM peak hour, 8 trips during the PM peak hour.
- The Anderson Street / Wilson Street intersection will operate at LoS A in the AM and LoS A in the PM Peak in the future year scenarios with the additional traffic generated by the proposed development.
- > The provision of car parking is adequate to service the development.
- The geometric design of the proposed parking facilities is to be ultimately constructed in accordance with the Willoughby Development Control Plan 2016 and Austroad 2890.3 –Off street parking.

### APPENDIX



### TRAFFIC SURVEY COUNT



### Traffic Information Specialists ABN: 42 613 389 923 Email info@trafficinfospecialist.com.au

				TOTAL	QV	69	80	9/ 129	147	137	112	150	136	1383	97 a1	125	115	108	120	135	143 124	134	1416		TOTAL	49 69	8	97 129	147	137 140	112	136 136	137	1385	6	125	115	108	120 113	135
					HEAVY	4	5 7	18	17	6	10	. 2	ŝ	105	5 8	16	16 5	12	9	7	9	8	80		TOTAL	6 6	2	18	17	9	7	ດດ	8	507	0 00	16	5 5	12	9	2. ~
	r r	т	_		LIGHT	65	75 86	80 111	130	128	105	145	131	1278	92 83	109	99 106	96	111	128	137	126	1023	_	=	3	75	111	130	128	105	131	129	8/71	83	109	99 106	96	111	128
					TOTAL	4	• •	И 4	r m	8	e re	<b>9</b> 9	<b>ო</b> თ	41	7	ົ	so ee	9	ი ო	8	2	7	8		TOTAL															
	17				ω.	4	•	<b>o</b> m	о <b>с</b> і	÷ ,	- 4	•	~ ~	23	4 +	- 10	8 2	ŝ	4 0	4	4 0	4	44		۴	4														
3	mber 20			۳ı	HEAVY	-	0 0	0	0	0 0	o c	0	0 0	1	0 0	0	• •	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	1		R															
	, 7 Dece	•		ш	LIGHT	e.	0 0	0 m	0 0		- 4	- 2	~ ~	22	4 +	- 2	2 10	2	4 0	4	4 v.	4	43		LICUT															
	Thursday, 7 December 2017		EAST Wilson Street		N																			WEST .	F	4														
	F		E		HEAVY																			M	H NV															
					LIGHT																				TICUT															
	Day/Date	Weather			M C	• •	• •	<del>،</del> ۲	•	۰ ۲	4 4	- 4	ۍ <i>-</i>	18	с С	4 M	ε		ოი	4	е с	7	36		6	4														
		-			. HEAV	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	э с	0	0 0	0	00	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	•																	
					E C	0	0 0	ч <del>г.</del>	-	- (	~ ~	- 4	<del>ب</del> م	18	с С	n w	~ ~	-	υ υ	4	εc	7	36																	
					TOTAL 25	18	22	<sup>2</sup>	42	37	35	29	24	363	38	40	42 41	39	42 51	57	64 50	56	552		TOTAL	23	28	75	102	98 102	82	109	16	52	56	17	68 62	63	69 59	04
					ß																				۴	- 10	<b>9</b>	x 4	œ	9	- ;	P 9	12	4	r ∞	• •	6	6	7	46
				œ١	HEAVY																				R	0 0	0 0	o 0	-	0 0	0 0	0 0	0,	- 0	, <del>.</del>	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	c
					LIGHT																				TICUT	1 5	o ک	x 4	۲	9	- 9	9 0	12	<b>b</b> 4		~ "	6	6	1	4
reet		8	NORTH Anderson Street		23	15	ន ខ	48	36	33	33	25	20	322	30	32	35	36	<b>45</b>	2	44	48	487	SOUTH Anderson Street	P	46 21	23	3 2	94	86 93	8	8 6	85	888	8	22	53 61	2	22	54
Anderson Street		CHATSWOOD	NO	ы	HEAVY	0	2 4	13 0	2 9	41	~ ~ ~	ი ი	ოფ	56	4	t 0	s م	4	ი ი	4	4 0	5	55	SO	I I	0 N	<i>с</i> о о	o o	10	ი ი	4 (	2 И	0	<b>4</b>	ით	Q 0	o –	2	9 4	e.
And		5			LIGHT	15	20 16	35	30	30	26 16	22	17	266	26 25	26	31	29	35 39	50	54 42	43	432		LIGUT	19 43	20	99 99	84	81 90	17	97	83	<b>841</b>	45	60	52 52	49	52 48	51
					S e	e	• •	0 Q	. 9	ŝ	9	<b>4</b>	4 v	41	» «	<b>,</b> ∞	4 8	e.	4 9	e	9 9	8	65		6	4														
				-1	HEAVY	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	o c	0	0 0	0	+ c	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	1		L UCAN															
					LIGHT		0 °	γN	1 0	<i>с</i> о о	9 9	o 4	4 ư	41	7	n co	4 G	e B	4 0	e	99	80	64		LIGHT															
					6.45	2:00	7:15	7:45	8:00	8:15	8:30 8:45	00:6	9:15	pu	15:15	15:45	16:00 16:15	16:30	16:45 17:00	17:15	17:45	18:00	p	All Vehicles Time Per 15 Mins		6:45 7:00	7:15	7:45	8:00	8:15 8:30	8:45	9:15	9:30	15:15	15:30	15:45	16:00 16:15	16:30	16:45 17:00	17:15
		Suburb	Mins		ú	-								100									ш	15 15									19	ш						
		Suburb	<u>All Vehicles</u> Time Per 15 Mins			•		7:30 -	•	•	8:15 - 8:30 -	•	9:00 - 9-15 -	Period End	15:00 -	15:30 -	15:45 - 16:00 -	16:15 -	16:30 - 16:45 -	17:00 -	17:15 -	.7:45 -	Period End	All Vehicles ne Per 15 Mi		6:30 - 6:45 -	- 00:-	7:30 -	7:45 -	8:15 -	•	- c4:8 - 00:6	9:15 - 9:		15:15 -	•	15:45 - 16:00 -	16:15 -	16:30 - 16:45 -	17:00 -



Duration

Anderson Street Wilson Street

Location



						TOTAL		295	375	453 510	553	536	539	538	535 4334	428	442	459	454	476	511	515	536 4773			TOTAL	295	375	453	553	536	539	538 535	4334	428	442	459	454	476	511	515 737
						Ţ	HEAVY	26	38	55	54	43	31	27	350	45	45	49	42	38	32	30	345	1			26	38	51	54 54	43	31	25	350	45	45	49	42 36	88	32	30
						TOTAL	LIGHT HEAV	269	337	455	499	493	508	511	3984	383	397	410	412	410	479	485	3928			TOTAL	269	337	402	499	493	508	511 510	3984	383	397	410	412	438	479	485
							TOTAL	2	9 9	۲ م	1 1	۱ <del>۱</del>	16	17	117	33	24	27	38	97	27	23	31 235	1		TOTAL															
								ω I	7	n u	2	. ∞	8	6	11 99	12	14	18	11	a t	1 1	13	131			ļ								T			-	-			_
		ber 201				œ١	HEAVY	.,		o c	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	. 0	1	1	ч,		0	0	0			R															
0630 - 0930 1500 - 1800		Thursday, 7 December 2017					F	4 4	9 1	n u	2	. ∞	∞	6	11	12	13	17	16	4 6	1 1	13	17			R IICUT IICUT															
15(	1	rsday, 7		E	Street		ы																	L	LT .	F															
		Ţ		FAST	Wilson Street	ы	HEAVY																	L	WEST	I															
							LIGHT																	L		<u>T</u>															
Duration		Day/Date	Weather				Т	2	~ •	4 v	n 10	5	∞	∞ ;	= 5	1	10	6	# :	= #	1	10	104	L		F	4														
ă		Dar	Ň			<u>ات</u>	HEAVY	0 0	0 0	o c	• •	0	0	0 0	- <b>-</b>	0	0	0	0 0	- c	0	0	0																		
							LIGHT	2	m •	4 v	n n	5	∞	∞ ;	15	1	10	6	;;;;	# #	15	10	104			E CI															
							TOTAL	89	114	153	164	139	126	113	1146	152	155	162	164	189	214	222	227 1658			TOTAL	199	251	306	34b 377	384	397	408 403	3071	253	263	270	262	261	270	270
							ы									T										Т	16	18	25	33	30	41	39 42	276	26	31	32	36	8 B	45	47
						œ١	HEAVY																	L		R	0	0	<b>-</b>		1	0	0 0	4	1	1	0	0 0	0	0	0
							LIGHT																	L		Ę		18	24	32	29	41	39	272	25	30	32	36	43	45	47
reet	reet		8	RTH	Anderson Street			8	106	139	151	122	111	6	1024	129	134	141	147	173	195	201	204	1	SOUTH Anderson Street	F	183	233	281	344	354	356	369	2795	227	232	238	226	218	225	223
Anderson Stree	Anderson Street	x	CHATSWOOD	NORTH	Anderso	ы	HEAVY	11	20	28	30	20	17	16	183	21	20	23	20	20	17	16	171		SOI	T	14	17	24	23 23	22	14	11	161	22	23	25	21 16	18	15	14
Ande	Ande		9		Ì		H-	69	86	111	121	102	94	81	٥/ 841	108	114	118	127	153	178	185	1307			E U		216	257	321	332	342	358 351	2634	205	209	213	205	200	210	209
							M	6	∞ ;	11	± 🛱	17	15	16	122	33	21	21	5 5	4 4	ខ្ម	21	180			F	4														
						-1	HEAVY	0	0 0	o c	0	0	0	0 0	- <b>-</b>		0	0	0 0		0	0	•			Ľ															
							E	6	∞ ;	11	13	17	15	16	122	22	21	21	17	16	19	21	179																		
							-					10	0	ŝ	9:30	16:00	16:15	16:30	16:45	17-15	17:30	17:45	18:00	1	es lour		7:30	7:45	8:00	8:30	8:45	00:6	9:15 9:30	End	16:00	16:15	16:30	17:00	17:15	17:30	17:45
ocation			Suburb	se	Hour		6	7:30	7:45	8:00	8:30	8:45	9:00	9:15	n pu	-	-																								
Location			Suburb	All Vehicles	Time Per Hour			•	6:45 - 7:45	• •		•	•	8:15 - 9:1	- eriod Er	i –	•	•	15:45 -		•	16:45 -	Period End		All Vehicles Time Per Hour		6:30 -	6:45 -	7:00 -	7:30 -	7:45 -	8:00 -	8:15 - 8:30 -	ariod	15:00 -	15:15 -	•	15:45 - 1 16:00 - 1	-	•	16:45 -

Traffic Information Specialists ABN-42 613 389 923 Email info@trafficinfospecialist.com.au









## APPENDIX

### CONCEPT LAYOUT





0--

PACIFIC HWY

NI EM IN

## **ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS**

A-0000	COVER SHEET	_	A-7600	<b>GFA PLANS &amp; SCHEDULES</b>
A-0001	LOCALITY PLAN	_	A-7700	MASSING STUDY -VIEW TOM
A-0002	SITE SURVEY			CHATSWOOD CBD
A-0999	TYPICAL CAR STACKER PLAN (3 LEVELS)	_	A-7701	MASSING STUDY - ARIAL VIE
A-1000	BASEMENT FLOOR PLAN			CHATSWOOD CBD LOOKING
A-1001	GROUND FLOOR PLAN		A-7702	MASSING STUDY - ARIAL VIE
A-1002	LEVEL 1 FLOOR PLAN	_		CORRIDOR TOWARD FUTUR
A-1003	I EVEL 2 & LEVEL 3 PI ANS	·	A-7703	MASSING STUDY - VIEWS OF
A-1004		ı _	A-7704	MASSING STUDY - VIEWS OF
		_ L	A-7705	MASSING STUDY - PODIUM
CUUI-A	LEVEL 13 - RUUFIUP IERRAGE PLANS	_	A-7706	MASSING STUDY - 3D VIEW F
A-1200	EAST ELEVATION	_		STREET LOOKING SOUTH
A-1201	WEST ELEVATION	_	A-7707	
A-1202	NORTH & SOUTH ELEVATIONS	_		STREET LOOKING NORTH
A-1300	SECTION 1	_	A-7708	MASSING STUDY - 3D VIEW F
A-1301	SECTION 2	_		LOOKING SOUTH WEST
A-1302	SECTION 3	_	A-7709	MASSING STUDY - 3D VIEW F
A-1303	MASSING SECTION	_		STREET TOWARD FUTURE C
A-7500	SHADOW DIAGRAM JUNE 21 - HOURS 9am & 10am	_	A-7710	PRECEDENT IMAGES - ROOF
A-7501	SHADOW DIAGRAM JUNE 21 - HOURS 11am & 12pm	_	A-7800	LANDSCAPE CONCEPT PLAN
A-7502	SHADOW DIAGRAM JUNE 21 - HOURS 1pm & 2pm	_	A-7801	LANDSCAPE CONCEPT PLAN
A-7503	SHADOW DIAGRAM JUNE 21 - HOURS 3pm	_		13

_	_	-	_	_	Ц	_		_	-	Ц	-	_	
MASSING STUDY -VIEW TOWARDS FUTURE CHATSWOOD CBD	MASSING STUDY - ARIAL VIEW OF FUTURE CHATSWOOD CBD LOOKING WEST	MASSING STUDY - ARIAL VIEW FROM RAILWAY CORRIDOR TOWARD FUTURE CHATSWOOD CBD	MASSING STUDY - VIEWS OF 58 ANDERSON STREET	MASSING STUDY - VIEWS OF 58 ANDERSON STREET	MASSING STUDY - PODIUM VIEWS	MASSING STUDY - 3D VIEW FROM ANDERSON STREET LOOKING SOUTH	MASSING STUDY - 3D VIEW FROM ANDERSON STREET LOOKING NORTH	MASSING STUDY - 3D VIEW FROM VIOLET STREET LOOKING SOUTH WEST	MASSING STUDY - 3D VIEW FROM ANDERSON STREET TOWARD FUTURE CHATSWOOD CBD	PRECEDENT IMAGES - ROOFTOP	LANDSCAPE CONCEPT PLAN - GROUND FLOOR	LANDSCAPE CONCEPT PLANS - LEVEL 3 AND LEVEL	13
A-7700	A-7701	A-7702	A-7703	A-7704	A-7705	A-7706	A-7707	A-7708	A-7709	A-7710	A-7800	A-7801	
					L					_	_	_	_

## **PROJECT STATISTICS**

Site Area	565 m <sup>2</sup>
FSR Commercial	0.97 : 1
FSR Residential	3.03 : 1
Commercial GFA	550 m <sup>2</sup>
Residential GFA	1710 m <sup>2</sup>
TOTAL GFA	2260 m <sup>2</sup>
TOTAL FSR	4:1

## **APARTMENT SCHEDULE**

	Un	Unit Mix	Total
Level	2 Bed	4 Bed	
Level 4	2		2
Level 5	2		2
Level 6	2		2
Level 7	2		2
Level 8	2		2
Level 9	2		2
Level 10		~	-
Level 11		~	~
Level 12		٢	-
TOTAL %	80%	20%	100%
TOTAL	12	e	15

				0	0 10000 20000	50000
	Drawing					Drawing No.
	COVER SHEET	F				A-0000
						Revision
(						_
T	Scales	Drawn	Date:	Project Status		Project No.
	1:2000 @ A3	Н	OCT 2020	PLANNING PROPOSAL 18014	ROPOSAL	18014

Z

50000

Scale 1: 2000

Plot Date: 29/10/2020 11:16:11 AM

DREW DICKSON ARCHITECTS Rew DOKSON ARCHITECTS PTV LIMITED - ABN 12 188 882 153 SUITE 2, GROUND FLOOR, 83 A LEX WODER STREET CRONKS NEET NSW 2006 AUSTRALIA T-61 2 9061 3433 E-info@dda-australia.com W:www.dda-australia.com NOMINATED ARCHITECTS REG. NO. 4215

Project MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT 58 ANDERSON STREET CHATSWOOD 2067 NSW CIERT REYHODA PTY LTD



고 ㅈ 또

28/10/2020 17/09/2020 16/09/2020 08/09/2020 07/09/2020 04/09/2020 04/09/2020





# **COMMERCIAL GFA SCHEDULE**

Level	Area	# of floors	Area all floors
Ground Floor	63 m²	-	63 m²
Level 1	159 m <sup>2</sup>	<b>.</b>	159 m²
Level 2	328 m²	-	328m <sup>2</sup>
TOTAL COMMERCIAL GFA	550 m <sup>2</sup>		
TOTAL COMMERCIAL FSR	0.97:1		

# **RESIDENTIAL GFA SCHEDULE**

Level	Area	# of floors	Area all floors
Ground Floor	21 m²	~	21 m²
Level 4 - 09	187 m²	9	1122 m <sup>2</sup>
Level 10 - 12 Typical	175 m <sup>2</sup>	3	525 m <sup>2</sup>
Level 13 Rooftop Terrace	42 m²	~	42 m²
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL GFA	1710m <sup>2</sup>		

## **PROJECT STATISTICS**

TOTAL RESIDENTIAL FSR 3.03 : 1

Site Area	565 m <sup>2</sup>
FSR Commercial	0.97 : 1
FSR Residential	3.03 : 1
Commercial GFA	550 m <sup>2</sup>
Residential GFA	1710 m <sup>2</sup>
TOTAL GFA	2260 m <sup>2</sup>
TOTAL FSR	4:1

### **GFA** Colour Legend

GFA Commercial GFA Residential



Drawing No. A-7600 0000 
 Date:
 Project Stats
 Project No.

 OCT 2020
 PLANNING PROPOSAL
 18014
 Revision \_ 4000 Scale 1: 400 2000 **GFA PLANS & SCHEDULES** LH **'≥** 1:400 @ A3 Drawing Scales

Plot Date: 29/10/2020 11:22:01 AM

### APPENDIX



### SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS


















# 

# SPREADHSEET MODEL



# **Mixed Land Use Development - Chatswood - Info**

5	Out	0.5	2.1	1.0					
ΡM	Ч	1.3	2.1	1.0					
_		1.7							
AM	Ч	0.4	2.60075						
		Residential	Commercial	Retail					
	Out	80%	30%	50%	50%				
Split	Ľ	20%	20%	50%	50%				
		AM	PM	Commercial	retail				
on Rates		trips per unit	0.12 trips per unit		the trips per 100 m <sup>2</sup>	0.84 trips per 100 m <sup>2</sup>		46 trips per 1000 m <sup>2</sup>	4.6 trips per 100 m <sup>2</sup>
Trip Generation Rates		0.14	0.12	al	1.03	0.84			
Trip		AM	ΡM	Commercial	AM	Mq	Retail		
15 Apartments	505 m <sup>2</sup> GFA	45 m² GFA							
Total	Commercial	Retail							











Development (AM)





2017 Base + Development (AM)



2017 Base + Development (PM)

1% 10 Growth Years





1% 10 Growth Years







2027 Base + Development (AM)

Growth 1% Year 10



2027 Base + Development (PM)

Growth 1% Year 10

# APPENDIX



## SIDRA ANALYSIS



### Site: 101 [2017 Base + Development AM]

Anderson St/Wilson St Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h	
South	South: Anderson Street											
1	L2	14	0.0	0.101	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	40.0	
2	T1	162	19.5	0.101	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	39.8	
Appro	ach	176	18.0	0.101	0.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	39.8	
North:	Andersor	n Street										
8	T1	362	6.7	0.218	0.1	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.07	0.04	39.6	
9	R2	35	3.0	0.218	4.3	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.07	0.04	38.4	
Appro	ach	397	6.4	0.218	0.5	NA	0.3	2.1	0.07	0.04	39.5	
West:	Wilson St	treet										
10	L2	5	0.0	0.017	7.3	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.35	0.88	31.0	
12	R2	7	0.0	0.017	9.7	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.35	0.88	34.4	
Appro	ach	13	0.0	0.017	8.7	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.35	0.88	33.4	
All Ve	hicles	585	9.7	0.218	0.6	NA	0.3	2.1	0.06	0.06	39.5	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO (QLD) PTY LTD | Processed: Thursday, 24 September 2020 10:27:12 AM Project: N:\Projects\808\FY18\097\_Mixed Use Development Corner And\Des-An\Traffic\Analysis\Analysis v1.sip7

### Site: 101 [2017 Base + Development PM]

Anderson St/Wilson St Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h	
South	South: Anderson Street											
1	L2	24	0.0	0.131	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	40.0	
2	T1	219	7.2	0.131	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	39.7	
Appro	ach	243	6.5	0.131	0.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	39.8	
North:	Andersor	n Street										
8	T1	239	5.7	0.165	0.3	LOS A	0.4	3.0	0.16	0.10	39.1	
9	R2	54	0.0	0.165	4.5	LOS A	0.4	3.0	0.16	0.10	37.5	
Appro	ach	293	4.7	0.165	1.0	NA	0.4	3.0	0.16	0.10	38.9	
West:	Wilson St	treet										
10	L2	15	0.0	0.040	7.6	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.38	0.89	31.2	
12	R2	18	0.0	0.040	9.3	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.38	0.89	34.6	
Appro	ach	33	0.0	0.040	8.5	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.38	0.89	33.5	
All Ve	hicles	568	5.2	0.165	1.2	NA	0.4	3.0	0.10	0.12	39.0	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO (QLD) PTY LTD | Processed: Thursday, 24 September 2020 10:27:13 AM Project: N:\Projects\808\FY18\097\_Mixed Use Development Corner And\Des-An\Traffic\Analysis\Analysis v1.sip7

### We site: 101 [2027 Base + Development AM]

Anderson St/Wilson St Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back ( Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h	
South: Anderson Street												
1	L2	15	0.0	0.111	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	40.0	
2	T1	178	19.5	0.111	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	39.8	
Appro	ach	193	18.0	0.111	0.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	39.8	
North:	Andersor	n Street										
8	T1	398	6.6	0.239	0.1	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.08	0.04	39.6	
9	R2	38	2.8	0.239	4.4	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.08	0.04	38.4	
Appro	ach	436	6.3	0.239	0.5	NA	0.3	2.4	0.08	0.04	39.5	
West:	Wilson St	treet										
10	L2	6	0.0	0.020	7.4	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.38	0.89	30.7	
12	R2	8	0.0	0.020	10.3	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.38	0.89	34.3	
Appro	ach	15	0.0	0.020	9.0	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.38	0.89	33.2	
All Vel	nicles	643	9.7	0.239	0.6	NA	0.3	2.4	0.06	0.06	39.5	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO (QLD) PTY LTD | Processed: Thursday, 24 September 2020 10:27:15 AM Project: N:\Projects\808\FY18\097\_Mixed Use Development Corner And\Des-An\Traffic\Analysis\Analysis v1.sip7

### We site: 101 [2027 Base + Development PM]

Anderson St/Wilson St Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h		
South	: Anderso	n Street											
1	L2	26	0.0	0.144	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	40.0		
2	T1	241	7.4	0.144	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	39.7		
Appro	ach	267	6.7	0.144	0.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	39.8		
North:	Andersor	n Street											
8	T1	262	5.6	0.182	0.3	LOS A	0.5	3.4	0.17	0.10	39.1		
9	R2	59	0.0	0.182	4.6	LOS A	0.5	3.4	0.17	0.10	37.4		
Appro	ach	321	4.6	0.182	1.1	NA	0.5	3.4	0.17	0.10	38.9		
West:	Wilson St	treet											
10	L2	17	0.0	0.048	7.7	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.41	0.90	31.0		
12	R2	20	0.0	0.048	9.7	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.41	0.90	34.4		
Appro	ach	37	0.0	0.048	8.8	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.41	0.90	33.3		
All Vel	hicles	625	5.2	0.182	1.2	NA	0.5	3.4	0.11	0.12	39.0		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO (QLD) PTY LTD | Processed: Thursday, 24 September 2020 10:27:15 AM Project: N:\Projects\808\FY18\097\_Mixed Use Development Corner And\Des-An\Traffic\Analysis\Analysis v1.sip7

### Site: 101 [2027 Base AM]

Anderson St/Wilson St Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h	
South	Anderso	n Street										
1	L2	15	0.0	0.109	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	40.0	
2	T1	175	19.9	0.109	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	39.8	
Appro	ach	189	18.3	0.109	0.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	39.8	
North:	Andersor	n Street										
8	T1	398	6.6	0.239	0.1	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.08	0.04	39.6	
9	R2	38	2.8	0.239	4.4	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.08	0.04	38.4	
Appro	ach	436	6.3	0.239	0.5	NA	0.3	2.4	0.08	0.04	39.5	
West:	Wilson St	treet										
10	L2	6	0.0	0.020	7.4	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.37	0.89	30.7	
12	R2	8	0.0	0.020	10.2	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.37	0.89	34.3	
Appro	ach	15	0.0	0.020	9.0	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.37	0.89	33.2	
All Vel	nicles	640	9.7	0.239	0.6	NA	0.3	2.4	0.06	0.06	39.5	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO (QLD) PTY LTD | Processed: Thursday, 24 September 2020 10:27:14 AM Project: N:\Projects\808\FY18\097\_Mixed Use Development Corner And\Des-An\Traffic\Analysis\Analysis v1.sip7

### Site: 101 [2027 Base PM]

Anderson St/Wilson St Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand F Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back ( Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h		
South	outh: Anderson Street												
1	L2	26	0.0	0.142	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	40.0		
2	T1	237	7.6	0.142	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	39.7		
Appro	ach	263	6.8	0.142	0.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	39.8		
North:	Anderson	n Street											
8	T1	262	5.6	0.182	0.3	LOS A	0.5	3.4	0.17	0.10	39.1		
9	R2	59	0.0	0.182	4.6	LOS A	0.5	3.4	0.17	0.10	37.4		
Appro	ach	321	4.6	0.182	1.1	NA	0.5	3.4	0.17	0.10	38.9		
West:	Wilson S	treet											
10	L2	16	0.0	0.046	7.7	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.40	0.90	31.0		
12	R2	20	0.0	0.046	9.7	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.40	0.90	34.4		
Appro	ach	36	0.0	0.046	8.8	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.40	0.90	33.4		
All Vel	nicles	620	5.3	0.182	1.2	NA	0.5	3.4	0.11	0.12	39.0		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO (QLD) PTY LTD | Processed: Thursday, 24 September 2020 10:27:14 AM Project: N:\Projects\808\FY18\097\_Mixed Use Development Corner And\Des-An\Traffic\Analysis\Analysis v1.sip7

### Site: 101 [Base Model - AM Peak Hour]

Anderson St/Wilson St Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h	
South	Anderso	n Street										
1	L2	14	0.0	0.099	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	40.0	
2	T1	159	19.9	0.099	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	39.8	
Appro	ach	173	18.3	0.099	0.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	39.8	
North:	Andersor	n Street										
8	T1	362	6.7	0.217	0.1	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.07	0.04	39.6	
9	R2	35	3.0	0.217	4.3	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.07	0.04	38.4	
Appro	ach	397	6.4	0.217	0.5	NA	0.3	2.1	0.07	0.04	39.5	
West:	Wilson St	treet										
10	L2	5	0.0	0.017	7.3	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.35	0.88	31.0	
12	R2	7	0.0	0.017	9.7	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.35	0.88	34.4	
Appro	ach	13	0.0	0.017	8.7	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.35	0.88	33.4	
All Vel	nicles	582	9.8	0.217	0.6	NA	0.3	2.1	0.06	0.06	39.5	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO (QLD) PTY LTD | Processed: Thursday, 24 September 2020 10:27:11 AM Project: N:\Projects\808\FY18\097\_Mixed Use Development Corner And\Des-An\Traffic\Analysis\Analysis v1.sip7

### Site: 101 [Base Model - PM Peak Hour]

Anderson St/Wilson St Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand F Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h	
South	: Anderso	n Street										
1	L2	24	0.0	0.128	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	40.0	
2	T1	215	7.4	0.128	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	39.7	
Appro	ach	239	6.6	0.128	0.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	39.7	
North:	Andersor	n Street										
8	T1	239	5.7	0.165	0.3	LOS A	0.4	3.0	0.16	0.10	39.1	
9	R2	54	0.0	0.165	4.5	LOS A	0.4	3.0	0.16	0.10	37.5	
Appro	ach	293	4.7	0.165	1.0	NA	0.4	3.0	0.16	0.10	38.9	
West:	Wilson St	treet										
10	L2	15	0.0	0.040	7.6	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.38	0.89	31.2	
12	R2	18	0.0	0.040	9.2	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.38	0.89	34.6	
Appro	ach	33	0.0	0.040	8.5	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.38	0.89	33.5	
All Vel	nicles	564	5.2	0.165	1.2	NA	0.4	3.0	0.10	0.12	39.0	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO (QLD) PTY LTD | Processed: Thursday, 24 September 2020 10:27:12 AM Project: N:\Projects\808\FY18\097\_Mixed Use Development Corner And\Des-An\Traffic\Analysis\Analysis v1.sip7